41

IR 6625

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Is re the application of: Pope, et al.

erial No.: 09/834,572

Filed: April 13, 2001

For:

Composition, Process and Apparatus

Examiner: Bhat, Nina NMN

Group: 1761



Certificate Of Mailing (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the. Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this ______ day of

) (10/21, 2003.

Debra L. Packer

(Name of person mailing paper or fee)

(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

The U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop ______ P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Reply under 37 CFR 1.111

This is in response to the Official Action mailed July 21, 2003.

Remarks

Claims 1 to 7 are in this case. The claims are rejected under 35 USC 103, obviousness, in view of Paluch over Repholz.

The claims of this invention are broadly directed to preparing a core in shell product utilizing a specific die head as claimed in this application. Independent claim 1 specifies two specific elements not present in the prior art combination. Firstly, there is a shell flow control regulator which impinges upon the shell flow. Secondly, there is a core inlet tube which is located past the shell flow control regulator with its orifice in the shell flow. Claims 2 through 5 specifies new elements or narrows other elements already in claim 1. Independent claim 6 specifies the core inlet tube, its positioning such that the velocity of the shell is closely matched to the velocity of the core. Claim 7 is directed to a method of processing utilizing the die head of claim 1.